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We report a microfluidic approach for de novo protein structure determination via crystallization screening and optimization, as well as on-chip X-ray diffraction data collection. The structure of phosphonooacetate hydrolase (PhnA) has been solved to 2.11 Å via on-chip collection of anomalous data that has an order of magnitude lower mosaicity than what is typical for traditional structure determination methods.

Knowledge of the three dimensional structure of a protein is critical because it provides insight into its operation, as well as into potential sites for drug targeting.1–3 Recent efforts in structural biology have produced not only a large number of protein structures, but have helped to reduce bottlenecks through robotics and/or microfluidics,4,5 leading to improved, and often automated, high throughput methodologies for crystallization and X-ray diffraction analysis of novel protein targets.6–15 Even with these improvements, the harvesting and mounting of protein crystals for X-ray analysis remains the only manual step in the structural biology pipeline, thus making collection of data from small/fragile crystals cumbersome.16–18

Current data collection strategies require harvesting single protein crystals from the crystallization droplet in which it was grown; a process that takes skill, and can result in damage to the often small and fragile crystals. Cryocooling is then used to minimize radiation damage during data collection, yet this process may introduce structural artifacts.15 Despite these challenges, the success of single-crystal, cryo-crystallography has led to protein structural analysis at biologically relevant temperatures largely being abandoned.

During the collection of X-ray diffraction data only intensity is recorded while the phase information necessary to obtain meaningful electron density maps and structural information is lost. Computational methods for phasing, such as molecular replacement, are not suited for novel proteins for which homologous structural information is not available.19,20 Phase information is most commonly obtained experimentally by measuring anomalous diffraction signals, either by single- or multi-wavelength anomalous dispersion (SAD and MAD).20,21 These anomalous diffraction measurements require the collection of symmetry-related pairs of data to observe the small anomalous signal arising from one or more heavy atoms. Thus, obtaining diffraction data with high signal-to-noise ratio, minimal radiation-induced damage, and crystal non-isomorphism is critical for such measurements. As a result of these stringent requirements, anomalous data effectively must be collected from a single crystal under cryogenic conditions,15,20 even though a few examples of anomalous data collected from multiple crystals at room temperature have been reported.21–24

Compared to larger scales, microfluidic approaches for crystallization provide more precise control over transport phenomena, as well as over the composition and kinetic parameters of an experiment.25–37 On-chip X-ray analysis has been reported for both simple microfluidic chips38–40 and trimmed sections of multi-layer chips.31,40,41 However, the majority of materials used to date to fabricate microfluidic chips are incompatible with the requirements for high quality X-ray diffraction analysis.25,31,33,37,42

Here we report a microfluidic approach for the crystallization and de novo X-ray structure determination of novel targets via on-chip anomalous X-ray diffraction analysis. The focus of this paper is the complete demonstration of the capabilities of our microfluidic array chips, from (i) initial crystallization screening, and (ii) subsequent optimization, yielding high quality protein crystals, to (iii) X-ray data collection. Furthermore, we demonstrate a strategy for the collection of high quality, on-chip anomalous X-ray diffraction data at room temperature with sufficient signal-to-noise to successfully extract phasing information. Thus, these chips serve as a one-stop, room temperature, de novo structure
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determination platform that eliminates the manual handling of crystals entirely.

Metering of protein and reagents, mixing, and incubation of crystallization trials is performed in an integrated, two-layer microfluidic chip (Fig. 1) designed to have a minimal X-ray cross-section (>75% transmission for λ = 1 Å or 12.4 keV). We fabricated 24- and 96-well microfluidic array chips from cyclic olefin copolymer (COC), polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS), and Duralar® (Graphix Arts) with automated fluid handling capabilities for screening crystallization conditions, and subsequent structure determination (Fig. 1a,b). For crystallization screening and optimization, the chip was designed such that the ratio of protein-to-precipitant varies for each precipitant solution tested (screening phase, Fig. 1b). Next, a chip that reproduces the identified optimal crystallization condition in all wells is used to allow growth of a large number of isomorphous crystals for X-ray data collection.

After successful validation using several model proteins, we embarked on testing the platform for de novo structure determination. We screened a selenomethionine (SeMet) derivative of PhnA from Sinorhizobium meliloti (10 mg mL⁻¹) for crystallization conditions using the 96-condition Index screen (Hampton Research) on a 96-well chip in which the ratio of protein-to-precipitant was varied (4:1 to 1:4, Fig. 1b). This approach to screen a larger sample space can be achieved automatically with these chips, whereas implementation would be much more cumbersome using traditional well plates. The entire screening process utilized only 6 μL of protein (SeMet derivative of PhnA) per 96-well microfluidic chip and a total of 8 chips, yielding hits in 21 out of the 96 conditions tested (see ESI!). In comparison, just 3 hits were obtained off-chip using traditional well plate methods. Whereas this first round of screening only produced showers of tiny crystals, diffracting to 3 Å with poor signal-to-noise, subsequent optimization of the crystallization conditions and the chip geometry resulted in significant improvement in both data quality and resolution (Fig. 2).

Our approach to X-ray data collection involves accumulating small wedges of data from a large number of crystals at room temperature. This method has been used previously to obtain structural information from tiny or fragile crystals, as well as crystals that suffer from excessive radiation damage. The advantage of applying this small wedge strategy to crystals grown in a microfluidic chip is the ease by which a large number of
crystals can be generated and analyzed directly on-chip. The fine control of transport possible at the microfluidic scale also allows for improved reproducibility both in crystal quality and isomorphism, as evidenced by the extremely low mosaicity and the minimal coefficient of variation (standard deviation divided by the mean) in the unit cell dimensions of 0.06% for and 0.13% for among crystals of PhnA grown in different wells or in different chips.

Anomalous diffraction experiments require the comparison of the diffraction signals from symmetry-related pairs of data, necessitating an inverse-beam mode of data collection. The crystal was rotated 180° after the collection of 5 frames (1 s, 1° oscillation, 19 crystals) to collect data on the corresponding Bijvoet mates (Fig. 3a; Table 1). The anomalous signal is clearly visible during data collection of symmetry-related signals. The SeMet crystals diffracted to a resolution limit of 1.80 Å. The wedges extended exposure to the X-ray beam and maintain crystal quality among crystals of PhnA grown in different wells or in different chips.

Our strategy helped to minimize radiation damage due to extended exposure to the X-ray beam and maintain crystal quality during data collection of symmetry-related signals. The SeMet PhnA crystals diffracted to a resolution limit of 1.80 Å. The wedges of data were merged to obtain a complete dataset and then used to solve the structure of PhnA to a resolution of 2.11 Å using only on-chip data (Fig. 3a; Table 1). The anomalous signal is clearly visible beyond 2.75 Å (Fig. 3c), indicated by the difference in \( \chi^2 \) for Friedel mates treated as equivalent and independent. Other anomalous indicators including \( R_{merge} \) and \( \langle \Delta F^2 \rangle / \langle \sigma(F) \rangle \) showed similar patterns.

This is the first report of PhnA structure determination using a SeMet derivative (Table 1), and the data obtained here is in good agreement both with the structure obtained from analysis of a single crystal grown in a traditional well plate as evidenced by a RMSD < 0.4 Å when aligned in PyMOL (Fig. 3a,b), and with the structural characterization of other PhnA derivatives published by our collaborators (see ESI). However, a detailed structural analysis is beyond the scope of this work.

The merged dataset was complete, indicative of random orientation of crystals grown on chip. Variations in \( R_{sym} \) and \( I/\sigma \) were within a range that is typical for good diffraction data, and structural refinement parameters such as \( R_{free} \) and \( R_{merge} \) and Ramachandran statistics demonstrate the high quality of our data. Interestingly, the mosaicity of the data collected on-chip at room temperature is nearly one order of magnitude lower compared to the single-crystal cryogenic data (see ESI). This measure of the intrinsic disorder within a crystal describes, along with resolution, the quality of the observed diffraction and can impact the quality of the resultant electron density maps. We attribute this key benefit to the lack of physical handling, manual harvesting and multi-crystal data collection method when using the on-chip approach reported here.

Table 1 Summary of crystallographic statistics for PhnA crystals grown on-chip

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>SeMet&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data collection</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell dimensions</td>
<td>( a = b = 113.19) Å ( c = 73.87) Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>( P_{4_1}2_12 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total observations</td>
<td>412 491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique observations</td>
<td>28 002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>50 Å–2.11 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{sym} )</td>
<td>0.111 (0.508)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosaicity</td>
<td>0.04³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redundancy</td>
<td>7.9 (6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure of merit</td>
<td>0.394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness</td>
<td>99.8% (99.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of frames</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Refinement</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{free} )</td>
<td>0.176 (0.211)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ramachandran statistics</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most favored</td>
<td>95.61% (392)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allowed</td>
<td>2.68% (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disallowed</td>
<td>1.71% (7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Selenomethionine derivative of PhnA for phasing. Reported values are for all \( hkl \)s. Values shown in parenthesis represent the value for the highest resolution shell except where indicated. For the Ramachandran statistics, the number in parenthesis indicates the number of residues in a given region. \( R \)-factor = \( \sum |F_{obs} - kF_{calc}| / \sum |F_{obs}| \) and \( R_{merge} \) is the \( R \) value for a test set of reflections consisting of a random 5% of the diffraction data not used in refinement. \( R_{sym} = \sum |t_i - <t_i>|/\sum |t_i| \) where \( t_i \) = intensity of the \( i^{th} \) reflection and \( <t_i> = \) mean intensity.

Fig. 3 Electron density map and theoretical model of a portion of the PhnA active site determined from (a) traditional, cryogenic, single-crystal data and (b) merged diffraction data collected on-chip. The structure clearly shows three key residues (Asp211, His215, and His377) in the active site. The PhnA carbon atoms are shown in green in stick representation. Superimposed is a \( 2F_o - F_c \), Fourier electron density map (contoured at 2.0σ). (c) \( \chi^2 \) values from merging procedure in SCALEPACK for Friedel mates treated as independent (filled squares) and equivalent (open squares). Data shown is to the resolution of 2.75 Å as used in phasing.
diffraction data for PhnA, resulting in a 2.11 Å resolution structure. The microfluidic approach presented here enables the entire process of X-ray structure determination for a novel protein to be performed without manual handling of the crystals, starting from a purified protein sample. After on-chip screening for suitable crystallization conditions and subsequent optimization of those conditions, tens to hundreds of isomorphous crystals can be grown in 24–96 well array chips. Then, without crystal handling or harvesting, diffraction data wedges of each of those crystals can be obtained. The electron density map and structural information can then be obtained by merging these high quality wedges of data, yielding a complete dataset. We also demonstrated the ability to collect anomalous diffraction data on-chip, a critical input for structure determination efforts of novel proteins for which no homologous structural information is available. This microfluidic approach represents a simple, low-cost alternative to crystallization robots, as it requires only access to vacuum for filling of the chip, a microscope for visualization of crystals, and very small amounts of protein ( \( \sim 5 \mu L \) of protein solution per 96 wells that are each less than 20 nL in volume).

Looking ahead, elimination of the need for manual harvesting and cryo-cooling makes this microfluidic approach especially suitable for those instances where traditional methods yield protein crystals that may be too small or fragile for use, even after extensive optimization. Furthermore, the microfluidic platform reported here could also be used for dynamic structural studies to shed light on changes in structure during protein function, ranging from proteins that respond to stimuli such as light, pH, or the presence of ligands, to those that respond to temperature or electrical changes. These strategies have the potential to facilitate a variety of previously inaccessible biological studies.
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